

Student's Question: The two beasts in Rev. 11(should be 13).

AAM: My guess is that these are things that are going to happen after the rapture of the church. I don't expect to be here then, so I haven't taken great interest in it.

Student: Some interpret them to be symbolic.

AAM: Of what?

Student: Of the church.

AAM: Well you can say everything is symbolic of the church if you want, but that doesn't give much meaning to it. It seems to me it says there are going to be some witnesses at that time, and are going to have some strange experiences. Many witnesses have had very strange experiences in the past and I don't know why there should not be whatever the Lord chooses. But as for the details I don't expect to be here then so I'm not greatly concerned about it. It will be interesting to watch.

Student: You are studying now on Daniel? So, wouldn't there be a lot of parallels between Daniel and Revelation?

AAM: Exactly.

Student: And what are the parallels?

AAM: Daniel has a lot to say about the end of the age, about how the antichrist will fight against the saints in overcoming them and Christ will come suddenly and give them the victory. All that is developed in more detail in Revelation. What I've been trying to do in the work I'm doing on Daniel is to simply take Daniel by itself and then to take the various interpretations people have given and to see just how much is there you can really say for each. What is there you can positively say this is true, and what is there you can positively say this is wrong!

Particularly the prophecies that are already fulfilled from that to lay a basis in principles so you can use for all the prophetic books, Old and New Testaments. I feel that too many people take a little out of this book, a little out of that book and put them together. The results may be right, and may not. But I think each book needs to be studied by itself first very carefully to develop the principles involved in it and see just what you can get from that alone. That's what I'm trying to do with Daniel as present.

Student: What are the principles you are finding?

AAM: That comes out of the individual passages (indistinct)

Question about AAM's book on Daniel -- the image, the 70 weeks, etc.

AAM: Right now I'm working on the 70 weeks. I have worked on the image and the 4 beasts and reached my conclusions on those, pretty definitely.

Student: Would that include the dream he had in ch. 2?

AAM: Yes. In ch. 2 you have Neb's dream which Daniel interpreted.

Student: Is there much disagreement on that? The dream? The image?

AAM: The modernists claim that the book of Daniel was written in the time of the Maccabees in order to stir up the people to fight valiantly against the oppressors. Therefore they claim that where it