an internationalism," ssian leadership. Tito 9 years to reassert the ed party chiefs from octrines, but at home ropean version of the

skepticism in Western Social Democrats over by gains for the Italian were dedicated to the Iding power by demo-Their leader, Enrico North Atlantic Treaty mantling of both miliat that a government in NATO, but others politics. In Cold War on broad world issues bodox views about the wofthe United States.

ble consequences were a and the recognition t white-minority rule in pressure that forced er's tardy attention to counter what Russia ngola's civil war. The desia) met with Smith ity black rule in two ng confrontations and nt more difficult. But frican states and the ious effort was at last I possible.

credit from Africans, recognized as an opil like to avoid unbalalignments, however, sed over the imminent Rhodesia is only the ght come sooner than erful forces.

Russians were losing 'Ugly Russian,' given imperialist. Egypt reation with the Soviet to its Mediterranean

ports. President Anwar al-Sadat then turned toward Peking as well as Washington. Syria defied Moscow by intervening in the Lebanese Civil War to counter the pretensions of radical Palestinians. The fragile truce and disengagement in the Sinai that Kissinger had maneuvered between Egypt and Israel in 1975 was holding under strain, and United States influence was still crucial. What might prove a turning point in the Middle East was the shifting alignment resulting from the war in Lebanon. The complexity of these shifts defied simplistic analysis. So far, conservative Arabs had prevailed over radicals, and the bloody strife was a sobering experience that made explicit or tacit understandings with Israel at least conceivable. The United States, as the Arabs could see, was pressing the Israelis in that direction. The fear of an oil embargo by the Arab states may have affected the thinking of some Americans, but an evenhanded policy was understandable on its own merits. The Middle East was still the tinderbox, however.

Another enigma was the United States election. It looked as if the new Administration would change the style more than the substance of United States policy, but the world watched nervously. Kissinger may not have been praised abroad, but he would be missed. He had sought to make the United States a stabilizing rather than a crusading nation, and it seemed more reliable than it had been as the frantic power of the 1960s. Nevertheless, a Democratic Administration was expected to be more liberal on economic matters and perhaps better able to check the quarrelsome role of Congress in foreign policy, a trend popular with neither friends nor adversaries abroad.

Détente was a continuing fact of international life. Russian Communist Party General Secretary Leonid I. Brezhnev reaffirmed it at the 25th Party Congress in Moscow. So did the East European leaders who met in Bucharest, Romania. It was hailed even by the dissident European Communists, though it was denounced by Peking as a Russian fraud. Many Westerners questioned whether Soviet and Cuban intervention in Angola was compatible with détente, and they were alarmed by the rapid expansion of Soviet armed might. Others pointed out that Russia had never pretended it would suspend the ideological conflict or cease to support "wars of liberation." They saw the armed thrust as the predictable fulfillment of the Soviet determination some years back to "close the gap" and establish Russia's position as a world military and naval power equal in status to the United States. Russian arms were coming off the production line at a pace not likely to cool tensions or the arms race. Still, the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks and the efforts by NATO and the Warsaw Pact to achieve mutual and balanced force reductions, though stalled, were not renounced as goals by either side.

Skepticism about détente was encouraged by what were seen as the meager results of the Helsinki agreements of 1975, which had promised more freedom of movement, commerce, and ideas. Neither the Russians nor the East Germans showed much will to let people out or ideas in. The West Germans, however, made a deal by which trade

The complexity of the shifts in the Middle East defied easy analysis



Middle East tinderbox