[for page 4]

declared by mank E. J. Young to be "by far the best commentary on Daniel"* Yet a number of commentators, some of them excellent scholars, have tried to interpret these purposes as reaching only to the first comings of Christ, and in so doing have suggested interpreting several of them

. .

. . . .

Reat

١.

Footnote: E. J. Young, op. viz., page 6 (nc?)