or is it talking about Damascus, or is it talking about the two of them put together? It would seem extremely likely that it is connected with v. 4, wouldn't it. In view of the relationship of v. 5 to v. 4, to say that v. 5 is dealing exclusively with Damascus is something which I think we can say is 100 per cent false. It definitely is not dealing exclusively with Damascus. Now is it dealing with Ephraim and Damascus both? Verse 5? Well, v. 4 isn't. Verse 5 might be; we cannot say 100% sure that Damascus is not included in it. We can say that it looks as if it perhaps is not included in it and we can say that if it is included in it, it would be very reasonable to expect some evidence of this fact in succeeding verses. And so, while we cannot be as dogmatic by any means about v. 5 as we can abutut v. 4, yet we can say that our general expectation is that v. 5 is talking about the northern kingdom, as v. 4 is. Well, now what about v. 6? Is v. 6 talking about Damascus exclusively? About Ephraim exclusively? Or about both? What do you think, Mr. ---? It would seem at least possible that this is like 5, it's referring to v. 4 rather than to v. 3, wouldn't it? Now there again, I don't think we can say it is 100% certain, but we can certainly say this, that there is no word in v. 6 to make it clear that Damascus is included. It is quite certain that Israel is included and it looks as if it is at least probable, that verse, that Damascus is not specifically included in it. It certainly is not exclusively referred to. Now what does v. 5 mean, Mr. ---? What is he talking about in v. 5? What's the meaning of the verse? The word "judgment" is a word which we use when we win a case. We say we secured a "judgment" against that fellow. It is a word which is used of us when we lose something. We come into the judgment. It is used of a destruction of a great force by another force. This force meets its judgment, or it is used of a time of simply decision among people. There are many--(Student) How does