

All Scripture must be interpreted in the light of context. A passage alone can give a n impression which is not what the entire context means. A very clear i¹llustration of this is found in Judges 3:1-2 which reads in ~~para~~ as follows in the KJV: "Now these are the nations which the LORD left, to prove Israel by them, even as many of Israel as had not known all the wars of Canaan; only that the generations of the children of Israel might know, to teach them war, at ~~the~~ least such as before knew nothing thereof." In the NASB it reads: "Now these are the nations which the LORD left, to test Israel by them (that is, all who had not experienced any of the wars of Canaan; only in order that the generations of the sons of Israel might be taught war, those who had not experienced it formerly).

The NASB has a marginal note under the word "be taught" in v.2. "Lit., know, to teach them. Under the word "those" it has a marginal note "Lit., only" and under "experienced" it has a marginal note "Lit., known." Taking ^{other} ~~xxx~~ simply these two verses one might say that God left/nations in Palestine in order that the people of Israel might learn to ~~fight~~ fight. If this is the true meaning of these verses it would be a logical corollary that it is~~xx~~ an important part of God's will that all His people learn to fight [unless you are a dispensationalist] and that therefore it is the duty of the church to cause that all its members shall receive military training in all