This book brobuses to briefly the analuze of essays offers a brief critique of the set although It does not deal exhaustively with JEDP documentary hypothesis. the subject, since that would require detailed discussion, extensive bibliographic references, and the other requisite paraphernalia of scholarly research. However, it does seem feasible to pick up several crucial threads of the hypothesis and place them in a different light from what is customary. This has the disadvantage of opening the contributors to various The reader may feel some chagrin at what appears criticisms. to be a purely negative project, suggesting that the so-called "crucial threads" have been chosen to do the greatest damage, and author utors had perhaps studied more strenulously into if the contri the matter, they would realize a greater depth to the positive points for the hypothesis. The contributors sympathize' with these concerns, and are only too painfully aware of the inadequacy his presentation training and consequent narrowness of view. of their However. they feelf compelled at this time to register their dissatisfaction with the regnant literary hypothesis on what they believes to be good and sufficient argumentation. If The burden of their presentation has been to produce pertinent facts and then compare and contrast these data with particular central claims of the documentary adviseable hypothesis. Certainly, such a "negative" taskkis necessary; if not absolutely annihilate a position, at least to suggest possible Since areas of improvement. Since there are many possible solutions to the Old Testament problem of the structure and formation of the historical books (particularly the Pentateuch), it cannot be assumed that the final answer has already been arrived at, (albeit The conclusion much effort has already been put to the task). However, in this