will be used in the same verse. Sometimes wexhox have chapters with no specific name for God used at all. The and names for God is not a sufficient criterion to divide Genesis and documents.

However, we should notice something that goes way beyond this. We should notice the fact that Wellhausen theory as held by and practically & all who accepted any documentary theory today, holds in the ser that there are three documents that instead of two. These three deciments, one of these three documents they say always use the name YHWH, AND THIS one they say has a sign very different from the other The two they say have a style so similar that they are often very difficult to distinguish from one another. Yet, of these two, one they say, always uses the name Elohim and the other always uses the name XX YHWH. These two they call J and E and they say that If E documents are very difficult to distinguish from one another, 41 thojughkockkonox they think that it is quite easy to distinguish THERDER Thus both of them from P. The alleged division on the basis of the style goes one way and the alleged divsic division on the basis of the proper name go goes in the entirely different way. So that the division on the basis of the names for God is far from sufficient to establish the theory. Mr. Henry C. Can you give me an illustration? where the devesion is made Of what the division is made? Not by giving one chapter or giving in Chapter to a large part of .A. and a large part of the chapter 2 of another source) But by dividing up only a few wordst each.

Dr. M. A good illustration is found in chapter

Henry C.: This sounds very complicated. Does that mean that the ordinary person was morate unable to understand and we must simply has take what the experts say? Dr. M. It/ix often been found that new scientific \$ theories seem very complicated. However, after they have