find the result been investigated further, the complexity usually iron out, the results and generally it becomes so simple that wexxx it cannot be exaplained to a young student. When something is xxx so complex that onlyxx a highly trained man can understand it, we tend to wonder whether it w really is true at all or not. Certainly if the criticism if the theory of the higher criticism were true, it should be possible to give the proof in the simple language in such a way xx that the untrained person could examine at least some of the evidences and see that it bears out the claim. Henry C/ My roommate seems to feel that this can be done. He says that there are four main arguments. The consistent use of the proper hames in for God in different was documents, the fact that each document, taken by itself, presents a complete story without needing any of the other documents. The fact, that he says that these SCHOOL STATE OF THE STATE OF TH each document has instances where the same story is told where there is a parallel to a story in the other but were the compiler evidently did not realize this so kept them both as if they were separate incident and he says that the style of different documents are strikingly different from anotherxx one another. Dr. M. Let us examine these theories a one after another. xxxxxxxx And see just what there just these evidence is. Dr. M. Looking at the **Example:** Graf-Wellhausen theory, it should be placed in perspective. We should recognize. xphexap Perhaps one reason why there is so little change in it today is the fact that the people's mind/warx not work in this xxxxx century like it did in the last three. About 18xxxxp1800 there began a literary movement in German, which took the attitude that nothing from the ancient times was to be accepted as to ... unless abundant Supporting mi evidences could be found. Every ancient. This theory questions every wound