I'm afraid to my mind there is a great deal that is definitely taught that is pre-determined, kax that to our mind might seem contradictory. (Why should we as educated people deal in science the way we are taught about things and deal with facts that contradict when we have facts that- all through out life that contradict each other. We back off and say maybe they are not facts. All of a sudden, here we come to the Bible, which can stand on its own $x$ two feet and we have to take the liberty of insisting that these two things are true. Why do we not treat it the same way and a say we don't understand both of these as fact. Maybe they are not ) I tell you one thing that puzzles me in science, puzzles me -how is it that $I$ see one in depth. picture when I look at it, I see this picture/...I have two eyes, each of them gets the picture. Where is that fuse? Where do $I$ see the picture? I don't know. I don't understand. But $I$ believe in it. (But there's nothing thing you have just raised here. contradictory in that/you/raised. It something you don't understand, but it's not a contradictiory thing). Well, it's all according to your definition of light is contradictory. (Maybe, so far as/ixfe-ib-itife ... corpuscular... we act on that ...sofar as light is light wave we act on that even though we can't make a unified theory out of it. Maybe we can say with regard to these the twings, predestination and free will, that as far as life's experience is like predestination we act on that, so far as it is like free will, we act on that and ((never saying whether either one is really catrue)) never saying that either one of them absolutely over-rules the other). (Both are tax true in the fields in which they are true; that is, the Bible says predestination carries out so all right, it carries out so far; far --free will carries out so far: all right, it carries out so far. To have a unified field, we have to have more facts. ((But we will go so far)) Good, I agree, as long as you get to the point where you have two sets of data which now conflists, then you better back down the scale, and only say this is true, and this other one is true, but to a lesser degree. Of course, you not
should/1et them grow to the degree where they conflict.) Whey yar you don't

