was exactly 62 periods f of seven yearsm, during which this happened and this would be a wonderful evidence for the truth of the scripture, but we don't have that. (You think this one week spoken of is the one we are in now) No, I think that is still future. We are in an interim period between the 62 and the one. So first there's the seven, then the 62, and then there will be one. Between the 62 and the one, I think there is a period of nearly 2,000 years. So I think there is also a possible period between the 7 and the 62. And there are people who have tried to take the 7 and the 62 together and make it 69 and then maxxx multiplying that by 7 and getting the exact period from one particular decree to the crucifixion of Christ. And then they've found that it doesn't exactly fit. So they've said these years are Bably Babylonian years, and the Babylonian years are 360 days a instead of 365 and that way they have cut it down the a few years. And then they claim it exactly fits. And I don't think that's true, I think there's a certain guesswork involved in there, and I don't know of any Babylonian year of 360 days. They base that on the a fact that the Babylonian month was 30 days. So they say the year is 360, but I don't think there's any evidence for that . I-thought-that-was-to-say-that- That is to say, here's a case where people have drawn on an evidence for Biblical accuracy I believe on incorrect data. I think it is perfectly accurate, but I don't think we have the data yet, to interpret it. But I think there are certain things we can draw from it with suretykex. And there are other things we can't. I kank heard a fellow who wrote a master's paper on that. I gave him certain ideas that I had kkam and then he read a lot of commentaries, and then he wrote an excellent paper. (I have one last question. How far along are you on your book on fulfilled prophecy? ) Not in very far, I'm afraid. It's hard to know what would be most useful. A book of forty pages g seems to take the main principles and try to make them clear. But a book of a thousand