

Tongues

The Fact - ACTs 2

The interpretation of the fact

Did they all talk together in one new language
 Did they all speak a gibberish that was not any language at all
 Did each man speak in a different language

Was it a miracle of hearing? and not of speaking

Amy Semple McPherson

No analogy to the speaking today

Doesn't say the 300 baptized souls all began speaking in tongues

Stress is upon their boldness in speaking the Word

Acts 4:31 no mention of tongues

Acts 8 no mention of tongues

Acts 9 Saul's conversion no mention of tongues

Second instance found in Acts 10

These people hadn't been baptized in the name of Christ yet

Third instance-- Acts 19

In Ephesian epistle there's no mention of tongues tho is mention of the Holy Spirit

Jesus' Promised the Holy Spirit in John 16:7-15

The Holy Spirit will magnify Christ

No mention of speaking with tongues.

Thing stressed is witnesssing in the Spirit's power

Fact of tongues is stated but not stressed.

Not considered vital.

1 Cor. 12-14

No mention of tongues in connection with becoming a Christian

Mentioned so people won't give it an importance it doesn't deserve

He exalts other things over tongues speaking

Not indicate that everybody spoke in tongues

Comparatively few were able to so speaking

Not to seek to do it

Phenomenon compared with occurrence of miracles and healings

Largely things of the past

It is of God or not? Dangers

Tongues not to be made a test of Christianity of a person

Compare with attitude toward sprinkling as mode of baptism

Quotation from Isaiah 28

Foreign conqueror who comes in with a brutal language

A sign of condemnation

Satanic use of tongues

Meaning of the "Coming" of the Holy Spirit