

men
that these ~~scholars~~ would have to admit that it contained a thorough discussion of the matters involved, and if their students, and those who have been greatly influenced by their authority, could see in it that the arguments that they advanced were rather completely demolished, it could have a tremendous influence among great numbers of people. This impresses me at the moment as being the best procedure for the preparation of the book.

Most of the books that present the ~~ext~~ critical theory in recent years are rather sketchy in their dealing with it, and assume that it is so thoroughly established that a comparatively few superficial arguments are enough to attack it. Since it is so well entrenched in scholarly circles it is not sufficient simply to deny it in the same way. We have to go back sixty years to find extensive scholarly works that endeavor to give full evidence in favor of it. To write a similarly scholarly and thoroughly dependable and entirely full discussion of the matter, showing the weakness of these arguments, and how many of them have failed to stand the test of time, how many of them are given up, how little actual real foundation still remains for the theory, and that it/^{actually} is simply/^a ~~the~~ result of the attitude of the past century that has been practically abandoned regarding almost everything else ~~would~~ would seem to be a very effective approach.