

virgin
it ~~xxx~~ "virgin" because it doesn't mean "virgin." virgin. How confused can
one's thinking become? (!) The fact

The fact of course is that there is a common Hebrew word meaning virgin
which is not the word here. The word used here is somewhat like the ^{Old} English
word "maid." In ~~old~~ old Old English the word "maid" was used for a ~~young~~ young
woman, one of the characteristics of whom was that she was a ~~fix~~ virgin. I recall
an instance of a man who brought suit in/^{the} court against a woman whom he had
/x married. He said when I married her I found that she was no maid. The Hebrew
word rendered here means "a young woman who has as one of her characteristics that
she is a virgin." The word is used less than a dozen times in the Old Testament
but there is no one of these cases in which there is the slightest evidence that
the one referred to was ~~not~~ not a virgin.

In the New Testament the RSV has inserted quotation marks which are not used
in Greek at all. The insertion of these quotation marks completely disrupts the
context. In Matthew it is stated that Joseph, thinking that Mary was an unclean
woman, desired to put her away quietly. However, an angel spoke to him and told
him not to do so because she was actually fulfilling a prediction made in the
Old Testament. Then the angel quoted the words of Isaian that a virgin would
have a child. In view of this prediction now being fulfilled/^{Joseph} was not to put
her away. The RSV inserts quotation marks in such a way as to make it seem
that this is simply a side ~~xxx~~ remark of the author. It destroys the whole point
of the/^{angel's} statement to Joseph. The quotation/^{that} the angel ~~made~~ makes
is from the Septuagint (ran off reel -) This translation - -