

wish therefore not to be quoted as considering myself as using either title, and yet believing that in a truly Scriptural sense, ^{in which} I could apply both titles to myself.

In The individuals with whom I have talked and books ^{that} which I have read, that could be considered as belonging to either ^{under each} one of these ^{categories} frequently make the errors to which I have just referred, ^{so} and I believe that we need to be very careful ^{about} ~~on this point~~. In addition to these matters Another fault that I find very commonly in books of both sides ~~xxx~~ is to take an extreme representative of the other and treat it as if it were a typical representative. This ~~is~~ sort of misrepresentation of an opposing position always seems to me quite unfair and tends to arouse feeling ~~f~~ rather than to give understanding.

Lwt's say a little about certain dangers that we find in each of ~~xx~~ these views. First, ^{let us look at} ~~the~~ those who have ~~xxx~~ tend to abhor ~~D~~ dispensationalism and whom Dispensationalists might call "Covenant Theologians." I find three errors into which extremists among these individuals tend to fall, in addition to or supplementary to what has already been said as to errors common to both. The first of these would be that there is a tendency to ~~be over~~ ^{over} figurative in ~~interpreting~~ ~~interpretation~~ interpretation of Scripture. It is impossible ^{to say} that one takes all the Scripture ~~literally~~ literally. No one does. Scripture has many figures of speech. We must recognize the existence of figures of speech; otherwise many parts of the Scripture, from any viewpoint, become nothing but nonsense. There is in the library a book entitled, "Figures of ~~xxx~~ Speech Used in the Bible, It