

simply took Milligan's ~~Milliken's~~ (?) idea and then applied it in a different way than ^{Milligan} ~~Milliken~~ did, ^{and the two} ~~than did Milliken, Milligan.~~

~~both~~ of them reaching equally fallacious conclusions. ^f The interpretation of something as completely figurative is sometimes called "spiritualization."

This is an utter misnomer. There is nothing any more spiritual about taking all material statements as representing something entirely different, than there is in literal interpretation. The word "spiritualization" is thus falsely used. One interpreter says that all the predictions of future material blessings in the OT^o must be ^u raised to a higher level and taken in a spiritual sense. ~~What he really means is~~ ~~that~~ a figurative sense. This is the great central flaw of Dr. Oswald T. Allis's ~~Allis'~~ ~~book~~ books (?) on prophecy. Unfortunately these books have had a wide influence. Dr. Allis was a careful scholar who gathered a great amount of detail on everything on which he wrote. He wrote some excellent material defending the Scripture, ^{but} ~~From the critical~~ ~~When he got into the field of prophecy,~~ ~~however,~~ he adopted ~~pr~~ principles which, carried out logically, could make anything mean anything. It is fortunate ^u indeed that he did not carry these principles into interpretation of the ~~NT^o~~ ^{NT^o}. As the modernists do, when they interpret the resurrection of Christ as simply the great principle of permanence of personality,

The second error into which such people often fall is to ~~take~~ ~~make~~ ~~(?)~~ guesses and state them dogmatically as facts. This unfortunately is a fault that is found sometimes among all ~~groups~~ groups of Chns^o. The third error that ~~sometimes~~ is ^f often found in connection with ~~the~~ those who hold this view is that they tend to ~~give~~ devote too little attention