

I did not feel that it was quite right the way he asked that this other man from Westminster, whom I did not even know, should also be given a chance an opportunity to speak. Th fellow, Davis, I believe his name was; I had never met him before, was extremely clever in his remarks, but the sum total of it ~~was~~ would seem to be to give the impression that Westminster has a great library which is so great that they don't mind sharing it with our little school, and that all the evangelistsevangelistic emphasis that we have they also have at present, even if they did not in the past, and the net effect on students and general and unthinking visitors would be, when you have a wonderful school kike Westminster right here, what is the ~~point~~ point in going to any great effort to try to build a real school at Biblical? It was extremely cleverly done. His talk He evidently is a fellow of very great ability, particularly in expressing things in a way that interests and amuses people, and yet gets his point across very definitely. It was as a result of this that ~~the~~ it seemed to me that it was very vital that when I spoke I put some strong stress on what we have here at B°. At the same time I did not wish to be in any way discourteous to Dr. Van Til, or to say anything critical of them. For this reason I repeated what I had said last year the previous year about my progress of improvement, simply making a play on the names of the different places, but giving an easy chance opportunity for a transition to speaking of the excellence of our faculty and of the great future work that was needed for the seminary. I hope that I succeeded in accomplishing what I tried at that point.

*7/2/83*