a compromise, and in case of refusal, the new plague is announced at the same time. Moses is the miracle-worker, not Aason, and, if there is mention of a miracle-working staff, it is the staff of Moses. Formally, it is worth noticing, what has already been noticed in the Samaritan (Pentateuch), that always the command of Yahweh for threatening Pharach to Moses, is presented alone, remaining silent about its completion and being shown as obvious- cf. on the other hand e.g. 47, 7,9,10. All of these characteristics are foreign to the narrative of Q, and are, in their combined form, characteristic of JE. When one looks a little closer, however, the parts of JE do not form a unitary picture, but the physiognomy of two different sources are recognizable, from which JE is developed, as in Genesis.

638

In most sections, the Jehovist has weven both patterns closely with one another, although Numbers 3 and 4 represent exceptions on the one hand and humber 7 on the other, probably because there was notcounterpart available in the parallel source. The most important difference is that in one report Moses signal sithesplagues with his staff as opposed to the other in which there is no mention of the staff of Moses, but Yahweh alone works the mircles immediately. (1. Only here, the plagues are actually punishments, but also miracles and signs of verification of Moses before Pharach as in Q). Also, tlasely connected with this is that the announcement of the plagues is lacking usually in the one, while it precedes its actual each time entrance, in the other. Because, if Meses himself wintroduces them, no doubt can arise about where they originate; if they enter without his assistance, he must announce them beforehand sotthat one may see that they can't come by accident but from Yahweh. For the same

4