```
Cr, Oct-Dec'64,26
                           J, E, P sources making up Exedus
 AT June 65,23f a widely accepted theory suggests . . . difference in style reflects
                 a difference in source
                 auther of Gen. 1 salled "Yahwist" because he used Divine Name Yahweh
 STG 50/5
                 means of identifying
 RS1 43/2-3
                  "Yahweh" - "Elehim" used systematically, consistently
 RS5 27/9-10
                  used in different ways
 RS5 28/10
                  used for "variety"
  RS5 29/1
                  not used "interchangeable"
 RS5 29/3-4
                  different writers of two creation stories are indicated by title
M 30/5
                  terminelegy
                  Alteration in use of Divine Names
  U 35/3
                  origin of J, E
  Mc 27
                  "JEDP"
  Mc 28
                  names point to different trends in the Separate Sources
  AW 74/4
                  does not put too much stock in argument built on names of God in Job
  HHR 155-6
                  argument from Divine Names "still retains its great significance"
  OE 182/4
                  the changes of the Divine Names remain a fundamental of Pent. criticism
  OE 183/2
                  names of God are yardstick for dividing up material. Prevails today
  K 52/10f
                  with few exceptions
  OE 182/5
                  though opposed, still retains its great significance
  __ 182/6-7
                  strata not preserved exactly
                  "a fundamental of Pent. criticism"
    183/3
  U 35/3-5
                  discovery of alteration in use of
  0226/2
                  name Yahweh introduced in time of Exodus. "Proof" given.
  U 36/4
                  "The Differences in the Divine Name"
 NG 104/8ff
 Skn xxxv/4f
                  In Gen. the divine name occurs in one of others forms about 340 times
 Skn xxxvi/3
                  The documentary theory furnishes a better explanation of the alter-
                  nation of the names than any other that has been propounded.
      ibid/5
                  One has but to read consecutively the first three chapters of Gen, and
                  observe how the sudden change in the divine name concides with a
                  new vocabulary, representation, and spiritual atmosphere, in order
                  to feel how paltry all such artificial explanations are in comparison
                  with the hypothesis that the names are distinctive of different do-
                  cuments. . . . the theory is vindicated in a sufficient number of
```

instances to be worth carrying through, even at the expense of a somewhat complicated analysis, and a very few demands . . . on the

Skn xlviii/6f The divine names

services of a redactor