

- AS July'63;63-4 parallels with Gilgamesh epic. Biblical account said to depend on G.E.
- AT Nov'64;14 Gen.6.5-9.29 composed by putting together at least two different versions
- _____,15 part from "later document"
- _____,15 The fact that these are primitive stories whose outlines are to be found in other religions, matters not . . .
- SI _____,15 are stories more about what always happens than about what happened on some special occasion
- STG 61/3 Noah's ark "obviously" was too small to provide living space for all species. How prevent mayhem of smaller species?
- STG 61 are still too many people who believe this story in spite of its difficulties - that it is factual
- STG 62/10 original basis of flood story said to be Gilgamesh Epic.
- STG 63/6 J's reworked version of flood replaces many gods with one God.
- WH 53/9ff Gen flood story compared with Gilgamesh
- WH 17/3 Babylonian story of flood
- OE 182/8 two flood narratives
- JCS 14/7 40 days 150 days
- SK 101/5 two accounts woven together. View presented not adopted.
- SK 102/1
- M 36/8-10 cf. Gen 6-9 with Bab. flood stories
- SDJ 101/5 Flood unhistorical as described by Biblical writers
- U 167/5 Similarity of Gilgamesh Epic with Bib account shows that J borrowed freely from the fund of popular tradition though he transformed it in accordance with his theological perspective.
- U 170/9 flood not a natural event
- JB 74/8 . . . the story of the deluge was ultimately derived from the Babylonian Gilgamesh epic, as a comparison demonstrates
- EZ 120 "obvious differences between the J and P interpretation of the Flood narrative". Four differences listed
- N 95-103 analysis of Flood narrative. " . . . a mechanical division of the text into two independent sources does not do justice to the present text." 102
 "If the chronological system has to be connected with P(to use the terminology of literary critics), it is no longer possible to regard this P as an independent source beside the older traditions or strata." 102