8.1

4	/2
dr Oct-Dec 64,	J - apedictic style; E - casuistic style
	kingdom of priest's covenant theology in E dominated the North
	E theology characterized asCong., Prot., K. of Priests, cov. theo.
RS5 29/5	names of God indicate preference of different authors
RS5 31/3-7	characteristics of J
RS5 31/8-10	n E
RS5 32/3-4	u u D
RS5 32/5-6	n n p
OE 183/4-7	linguistic usage
U 42/8 U 22/6/4 U 227	literary analysis of Ten plagues made on basis of style and vecab. peculiar to each tradition. attempts to contrast E & J
U 311/3-9	new literary style of Deut. Content older than style
U 381/6	style of P best fits exilic and post-exilic community
AW 73/8 NG 105/3-6	different styles and linguistic usages differences in lang. and style
NG 219/2-6	J has a distinctive vocabulary & style
NG 219/7-10	J is far more intrigued by names, places & customs than he is by chronology. Examples -
NG 224/9	J's view is highly anthropocentric
NG 250/4-6	style and vocabulary of E
NG 454/5-7	the familian terms of P
Nor 22/10	chief/characteristic of The Primeval History(variously denoted J ¹ , L, or S, is "a certain coarseness."
Bz 26/3	We have parts of the Phet. where we find a heavy, circumstantial style, while in other passages the material is presented in an easy fflowing, living narrative. There are differences in the conception of God (Ex.4.24ff comp. with Gen. 1)
B z 29/8	The linguistic and stylistic peculiarities of D and P are so apparent that it seems impossible to escape the weight of the material
C&H, 74	The manner of the oath exacted by Israel from Joseph presents an unmistakable parallel to that demanded by Abraham from his servant 24.2, and is unanimously assigned to J