Development

14.10

Driver, LOT, p. 123 "the anthropomorphisms of J favour, moreover, an earlier date

Driver, LOT, p.85/3 Can anyone read the injunctions respecting sacrifices and feasts in Ex. 23.14-19 beside those in P(Lev.1-7, Nu.28-29, for instance), and not feel that some centuries must have intervened between the simplicity which characterizes the one and the minute specialization which is the mark of the other?

Driver, LOT, p.85/5 Deut - one place; Ex. -in every place

Harrelson 154/1 The high religion of Canaan thus resembled that of the other peoples of the ancient world in many respects

154\$5 Israelite religion, as noted frequently, drew heavily upon the religious traditions and practices of Canaan.

- Harrelson 199/5-8 It is difficult to decide whether the deuteronomistic historian has simply falsified the record of Jeroboam's installation of non-Levitical priests at Bethel. We have already seen that the priesthood in Jerusalem had undergone many changes. . . It seems very probable, therefore, that Jeroboam actually reintroduced a more legitimate and ancient priesthood into North Israel at Bethel, although it was later to become corrupt and to deserve the historian's judgment upon it. . . Thus we may believe that Jeroboam boldly engaged in a significant religious reform, drawing upon elements of the patriarchal traditions and those of the wilderness period that would makk him as a true reformer of Israelite life and worship, but in the process opening the way for significant cultural developments and closer relations with the neighboring peoples as well.
- G. Fohrer 122/5 This, too, agrees with a specific early stage of development, probably even the situation of the so-called patriarchs themselves.

G. Fohrer 137/2-5 The Covenant Code(C), Ex. 20.22-23.33, says Fohrer, has in 5 general the laws pertaining to an advanced stage of settled life. C is earlier than D and originated in the early period of the monarchy, about the ninth century. Fohrer distinguishes five states in the growth and redaction of C

IDB,"Exodus", GEWright Yet the earlier legel codes in Israel (e.g. Exod. 20.23-23.19) Vol.2p.196/2-10 were not constitutional law; like the earlier codes among other peoples, they were compiled for the instruction of the people, and perhaps to unify legal practice. No judge ever decided a case on the basis of the "constitution"; the mentality did not exist at that time for this type of action.