3.91 Gardner, Helen, The Business of Criticism

Chambers, R. W., Man's Unconquerable Mind, pp. 71, 85 See 3.21

See 3.41 Chadwick, H. Munro and N. Kershad Chadwick, The Growth of Literature, Vol. I Beowulf p. 552

See 3.26 The age of Chancer

See 3. 41 Rose, H.J., A Handbook of Bruse Leteration p. 45 fm. 77

In 1902, a theory was put forward and rapidlygained ground to theeffect that Piers Plowman was the work of a plurality of authors; see <u>Camb</u>. <u>Hist. Eng. Lit.</u>, vol.ii, p. 3 sqq. Today, as my late colleague A. Blyth Webster informed me, scarcely a philogist of any eminence takes it seriously. In the case of Homer, the paradox has had a longer run.