

p. 413 Concerning the remaining works there has never been any doubt that Tacitus wrote them. 109

Footnote 109. Doubt of the authenticity of a writing implies sufficient knowledge and critical sagacity to entitle one to judge; these do not appear in the shallow works of M. Ross, Tacitus and Bracciolini, London, Deeprosee and Bateman, 1878, and his French ape, P. Hochart, De l'authenticite des Annales et des Histoires de Tacite, Paris, Thorin, 1910, who imagine the Annals (and Histories) forged.

p. 535

Bibliography

The principal treatise on Latin literature is that of the late Martin Schanz, Geschichte der römischen Litteratur. This is published by Beck, Munich, and is now undergoing revision. Vols. i and ii have been revised by C. Hosius and are cited as 'Schanz-Hosius'; vols. iii and iv, 2, by C. Hosius and G. Krüger . . . while vol. iv, 1, is still in Schanz' own latest revision. This elaborate work contains large select bibliographies in connexion with the treatment of each author.

Atkins, J. W. H., Literary Criticism in Antiquity, a sketch of its Development, (Cambridge, University Press,) 2 vols., 1934.

Farrer, J. A., Literary Forgeries, London, Longmans, Green & ~~Fraser~~ & Co., 1907.