ALTICK, R.

3.31-19<sup>2</sup> 3.31-19<sup>8</sup> internal evidence slippery parallel passages laden with potential fallacy

ANDOVER

3.21-46 The Andover Liberals (Williams O

ANACHRONISMS

4.1-45 in Pent (Cov Life Curriculum)

ANALYSTS (Separatists)

3.42-58 G. S. Kirk on

ANDERSON, B. W.

7.103-5 use of argument from Divine Names 7.135 11 11 Ħ 7.17' 11 9.01-35 of Continuous Narrative 9.036 11 11 11 11 Ħ 9.045 11 11 11 11 11 9.064 11 9.067 11 \*\* 11 9.073 10.95-29 alleged discrepancies 10.95-2b3,7 12.015 argument from style 12.02 12.3-2\* difficulty separating J from E

style of P critical approach of his Uthe OT

14.02 use of argument from developmnt 14.08 " " 11 14.5-12<sup>3</sup> P has ancient material 5.2-28<sup>3-8</sup> dating of legislative material in Pent.

5.2-34(-35) changes noted between 1st and 2nd editions of UCT

cov. forms // those of Hittite

ANDERSON, G. W.

7.073 5.2-24(-28) The Hist. & Relig. of Israel use of argument from Divine Names 5.2-28 11 11 7.08 7.103 12.3-2 difficulty separating J and E 12.6-1 style of P 14.02 6 argument from Development has less weight today 17.2-11<sup>3</sup> Hexateuch

**ANTHROPOMORPHISMS** 

14.02<sup>2</sup>
14.02<sup>7</sup> seen in P (B.W.A.) 14.073 less in E than J 14.09 seen in J 14.10 of J (Driver) 14.14 Catholic Encycl. 14.5-4 false concept of (Wright) 17.2-22 no proof of age 5.2-327 seen in J(Bewer)

6.4-9 found in earliest strata of O.T. 12.3-6 avoided by E and P

treaties