7.3-36 P in Gen. (Allis)

```
3.96-6 generally agreed it contains old material(IDB)
3.96-10, no pious fraud but molistal
                                                               14.3-8 P's expressions
 3.96-10 no pious fraud but reliable 3.1-7 " in Deut.
 6.1-4/0 Driver, agreement on limits of
 6.2-15
          repetition & incomsistency in P. Von Rad sees
          two strands
 6.2-3
          Noth - P a purely narrative source which
          originally had no laws
 6.2-62
          an actual historical work(not just so clothed)VR
 6.2-83
          P includes Gen 23(Driver); not so (Speiser)
 6.5-65
         P material ancient
12.01
          style of
12.04-
          style depends on subj. matter(Cassuto)
12.6-1
          style of (Fohrer)
12.6-2
12.6-26
          P easily recognized & separated
12.6-37
          P & J inextricably tangled
12.6-4
            exclusive title for ark -"ark of testimony"
12.6-5
          latest source - "proven"
14.023
          reflects later theological development
14.027
          no mention of sacrifice before Moses (Kuhl)
14.027
          not escape anthropomorphic lang (BWA)
14.046
          advanced ideas shown (Peake)
14.08
          seen as late (BWA)
14.3-5
          no "pious fraud" cf. 14.3-6; 3.96-11
14.3-64
          antiquity of, defended
14.3-68
          new respect for historicity of
14.5-77
          treated with more respect
14.5-11/0
          comes before D (Kaufmann)
14.5-12
          contains ancient material (Hooke)
14.5-123
          preserves authentic material (BWA)
14.5-124
          contains ancient material (Von Rad)
14.5-126
          present form may be old
14.5-12'0
          has early & late material (Fohrer)
14.5-13'
          enumerative style early cf. 14.5-15
14.5-143
          P - wishful thinking (Hastings Dict.)
14.6
          P based on J, not E
14.60
          P inconsistent
17.1-36
          order of D & P reversed (by Kaufmann)
17.1-39
          contemporary with D (Freedman)
17.2-44
          reliable tradition
17.2-47-9
          re-evaluated as valuable
17.2-108
          much is pre-exilic
20.033
          date, disagreement among scholars
20.04 5
          earlier than D not generally accepted
 5.4-3
          described (Cath. Encyl.)
 7.2-18
          no section in Gen. could be attributed
          to P ( M. Lohr)
 5.2-339
          style like that of a school
 5.2-339
          easy for even a beginner to single out
 5.2-33'° only one legitimate place to worship
 5.2-342
          not originally purely narrative work
 5.2-34 repetition led V.Rad to seek two strands in
 6.2-35
          Buck gives Ex 20.8-11 to P. Not other critics. Beentsch divided Lev. into 7 P sources
 5.4-42
 9.03-14
          a connected narrative
 7.3-23 style not distinctive(Allis)
```