fact that the peoplo have set it up by entirely democratic processes? Then few governments in the world's history have been lawful. And even here, a great demagogue can ind in democratic goverment one of the easiest methods of securing autocratic power. Hitler gained power through strictiy democratic processes, whatever means he used to hold it afterwards. Lawful government is not the restraining force.

No alternative interpretation that I have ever henrd suggested comes anywhere near meeting the requirements of the passage. It must be a restraining power which actually prevents the man of sin irom gaining his power, and which will be removed and thus facilitate his progress. The only view that is satisfactory is that it is the Fcly Spirit, acting through the body of true believers. This view is entirely satisfactory, i petty and unreasonable objections to it are leid aside.

Thus we see that the proposed interpretation of the Greek word goostesie in verse 3 is justified by the use of the word in Greek itterature; that it is in line with the New Testament use of the verb from which the noun is derived; that it fills the requirements of the passare; and that it does away with an apparent contrediction between this chapter and the rest of the X.T. In V. 3 , as in $v .7-8$ we have the sequence which would be readily inferred from the rest of scripture. The revelation of the man of sin comes after the departure of the church. The rapture of the church is the first clearly recognizable event in the complex of occurrences Which revolve a round the second Advent of Christ. There has never been a dey in the Christian era in which anyone ould rightly say, "I know that the Iord will not call His saints to meet Him in the air today."

Men John Fobinson, the beloved pastor of the P11grim Fathers, was biddin them farewell as they stafted for Americe, he declared his confidence that Cod would yet ceuse new truth to break forth out of His Word. Fow he would have rejoicedy to see the removal of an spparent contradiction, and the ending of a misinterpretation which eoes back to the very oarly centuries of the Ohristian church. Misled by the fact that the other useof anostasia

