seeing Babylon's restoration by Esarhaddon in 680 B.C. should be led by God in his thought into precisely this future situation? He approaches it by degrees. He first announces Jerusalands return (40:1,2). Now he specifies the deliverer in general terms, (41:1-7;41:25-29). Soon he will mention the oppressor by name (43:8-21), and finally in a grand climax he introdueces and names Cyrus the deliverer (44:21 - 4517), and describes the downfall of Babylon the oppressor (46:1 - 48:22). The whole situation and m manner of the prophet is just what we should expect frommone so wholly given over to the Spirit of God as Isaiah evidently was. And yet be it noted that he did greater things than these, and here we find the strongest proof both of true prediction and of the Isaianic authorship of these passages. Notice what blanks there are in the foregoing summary. The blanks are filled, as we shall see in a later division, by the glorious Messianic prophecies which also advance in alternation with these predictions of the return from Babylon. We argue from the greater to the less. If the prophet could have foretold the Christ so mervelously, could he not also have foretold the return from Babylon, and that in exact terms reflecting the situation as it would be in the day of the fulfilmment of his word? The believer must answer in the affirmative, and even the sceptic should be constrained to admit the possibility. We may not argue the point at length, but for ourselves there is no other way.

We return to the exigesis of 41:1-7. There is now general agreement that the subjectbof this section, the "one from the East", of vs.2, is Cyrus.<sup>1</sup>. We need not follow Delitzsch that the workmis pictured as already 1. Alexander, Commentary, in loc.: "Eusebius, Theodoret, and Procopius understand it as describing the triumphs of the true religion, or the gospel here called <u>righteousness</u>. Cyril and Jerome apply if to the Lord Jesus Christ Himself, as the Righteous One, or as the Lord owr Righteousness. Cocceius stands alone in his application of the verse to the Apostle Paul. The Jews make Abraham the subject of the passage, excepting Aben Ezra, who, with Vitringa amd all the latest writers, understands it as a prophecy of Cyrus.