

it , but if you have Jews 200 years before Christ , who in this particular case translates the word Virgin, you can be pretty sure that it is not a brand new idea that nobody ever~~x~~ thought of/ They would ~~x~~ be the laughing stock of the world. Why would the Messiah be born of a virgin? Well, there's no reason to get the idea/you didn't/have it. So the fact that they translated it that way impresses me that it is very excellent evidence . @

(Q) The word is Age, age or world, sometimes it means world. It's the picture of the word, is like tracks going on and they get nearer~~x~~ and nearer and ~~x~~ nearer as they go on as far as you can see . I don't think forever is a particular good translation, but when you have the ages of the ages, that is multiplying it , just like saying just as far ~~x~~ off as you can see, well then you ~~multimp~~ multiply that just that much more , in other words , it gives the idea of on and on and on . There is no and there will never be a stop to it . (Q): Dr. MacRae, less than 15 years ago when I attended Faith, I attempted to translate the Syriac of this Matthew passage and as I recall there were two manuscripts, if my mind serves me right , I'd use Canaan/^(?)also as a reference (No..) and secondly , in the context brings out the translators believed in the virgin ~~birth~~ birth a few verses on. I noticed at that time when I was a student at Faith, I ~~thio- teu-~~ thought the context brought out the thought of the virgin birth. I didn't mean to add to what you said, but I ~~xx~~ believe there were two Syriac manuscripts rather than one, now I could be wrong on that point./ Now , of course the evidence on all of these points , there's a great deal of material in ~~x~~ very fine print, and it is quite easy for ~~ane-~~ anyone who has done a lot of work in ~~xx~~ it to make a mistake and I could be wrong to on it, but I did check it very carefully, and my definite impression, is very definite that there's only one. But the other points you

Ans.!"