

make changes and in most cases the changes impress me as bad rather than good . ~~Quote~~ Quite a number of the places where I mentioned today where the RSV has taken a modernistic interpretation, he has done the same sort of thing. So it's beautiful print, the ^{Samsa} ~~Lansdale~~ translation is supposed to be from the ancient Peshitta, but it certainly is not a trustworthy work. (Q) That's just the N.T. of course, not the O.T. It was translated a long time ago before modernism ~~is~~ was nearly as widespread as it is now and I've heard it very well spoken of . I haven't checked enough myself to make a judgment. My guess ~~is~~ would be that it might be as good as ^{Weymouth} ~~Williamson~~ Montgomery, but I can't say it is, because I haven't checked it. Maybe Dr. McClain could say a word on that. What do you think of the Weymouth? --Dr. McClain says there would be various words seem more like a paraphrase than a translation. I wouldn't put ~~in~~ it in the class of the RSV Or the NEB. I think you would find it helpful in many ways. (Q) There's a strange thing. The R.C. recently have made ~~a~~ some good translations. They've been doing some careful work. And of course according to their dogmatic views, the Latin ~~ists~~ ^{is} was supposed to be the authority , not the Greek or the Hebrew. But the scholars translate the Greek or ~~&~~ Hebrew lately and in the footnote they'll put what the Latin says. It's my impression that some of the recent R.C. translations are on the whole ~~is~~ pretty good and are ~~not~~ really true to the scripture in points where the R.C. teaching follows the scripture, and I personally think that --I don't think we would make a lot of progress ~~is~~ with the Roman Catholics with trying to argue with them about points where there