

section devoted to Russian Communism and another in which western ideas are supreme, the standard of living of the two parts ~~impixixxxxx~~ ~~xxxxxxpxixxxxx~~ simply bears no comparison. People are constantly fleeing from the eastern zone to the western zone, and this movement is not only one from tyranny to freedom, but is also affected by the desire to enjoy the material benefits of a system so different from the socialist Utopia.

§ Here we see the results of the social gospel. Experience ~~xxxx~~ demonstrates that it is impotent to accomplish what it claims to be able to do. Why is this? It is because socialism, at its best, is simply a plan for a distribution of what is already being produced. It has in it no dynamic which can increase production, and thus advance a nation economically and industrially.

During the Middle Ages, the great ~~xxx~~ bulk of the population of Europe was sunk in deepest poverty, compelled to work long hours for very meager rations. There just was not enough produced to go around. A small class of knights, whose armor made it possible for one of them to overcome any twnty of the common people, lived in comparative luxury - luxury, however, which would seem ~~px~~ poor indeed, compared to the standard of living of the average person of western Europe today. Had the leaders of the World Council of Churches been active at that time, they would have said, what is necessary is to take what the knights have and divide it up among the peasants, so that all will ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxix~~ have an equal amount, and then with a socialistic system of distribution, there will be justice for all. The trouble is that to take what the knights had and divide it up among the rest of the people at that time would have raised the standard of living of the mass of the people hardly one percent. The only result would be to sink the knights to an equal