

pression, and that the other captive nations be given the right of free speech and free elections? Is it because we are forsaking our stand for principle and for freedom, and allowing ourselves to be controlled by expediency and compromise?

It has been well said that when any nation is willing to give up justice for the sake of peace it soon finds that it has neither peace nor justice. Actually we would be far less apt to get into war if we stood on definite principles, than if we give the impression of being always ready to compromise with ruthless Communism.

The Communist leaders do not desire atomic war. They know as well as we do that nuclear war would mean the destruction of both nations, but probably a far greater destruction of theirs than ours. Little by little, they are seeking to tear down our defenses and to nibble away portions of the free world. If we wilt every time we hear them threaten nuclear war, they will eventually exterminate all of us without it.

The Communists talk about doing away with nuclear tests. Do they really desire to stop such tests, or do they merely desire that we stop while they continue? The answer is evident in the fact that they consistently oppose every suggestion of a practical means of inspection. If we will give up our nuclear preparation and leave them free to go on with theirs, the end that will come in a few years is easy to foresee.

Typical of communist endeavors to soften up the free world for conquest is the widely-publicized offer of Krushchev to do away with all armaments. He suggests that immediately within the next four years, all armaments be scrapped, and all munitions destroyed. Yet all suggestions of practical means of inspection