

Abimelech he read a verse "and he gat him ~~W~~ up to the top of the hill." I  
felt that if the <sup>not</sup> American Standard Version could/do better than ~~that~~ that  
I might as well stick to the King James. The Hebrew is simply "he went up."

The "gat~~he~~ ~~gat~~ him up" expression is purely an idiom of English of 300 years ago which  
has not existed been used for many years. I was quite disgusted that the  
ASV<sup>o</sup> had preserved it in its editions.

During the last hundred and fifty 150 years there has been a great  
there have been a great many unbelieving scholars who have spent innumerable  
hours studying the Hebrew language, writing dictionaries, and commentaries on  
the ~~R~~ Bible. There have also been a sizable number of good scholars studying  
it from a conservative viewpoint, particularly in the NT<sup>o</sup>. There have been  
far less fewer in the OT<sup>o</sup>. When these scholars came to passages that  
(nc) fit (?) very ~~did~~ definitely with the Chn<sup>o</sup> interpretation of the  
Bible they sought to find a scholarly interpretation that would get rid of  
its Chn<sup>o</sup> implications. The result is that the RSV<sup>o</sup>, which was ~~considered (nc)~~  
prepared by a considerable number of scholars, most of whom were liberal in  
~~viewpoint~~ viewpoint, at points where definite Chn<sup>o</sup> doctrine was involved, tended  
to follow the comments that they found in the books prepared by <sup>these (nc)</sup> unbiblical  
scholars.