

hundred percent of as long a document as this. There are bound to be certain points ~~which~~ ^{which} ~~that~~ are outside of one's understanding or background. When a person does not have theological training, a mere statement of subscription or non-subscription to a long doctrinal statement is not particularly important. What is important is that the persons' ideas be examined at important points to see whether they are substantially in accord or not. If the Overcomers Board failed to do this, and consequently got something written which contradicts the Westminster Confession of Faith and would be harmful to Bible Presbyterians, then Bible Presbyterians who are members of the Overcomers Board are deserving of very strong criticism. I do not think that whatever was said could be taken as an insult to the ~~Board~~ Board (although I do not know what the wording was). I do feel, however, that any criticism which applied such a thing as this was criticism of the Board, and particularly of its President, and cannot be understood in any ~~an~~ other way. A person with friendly attitude toward the Board and toward its President would be expected to come and talk to them privately as he or she ^{any} soon as/they had/intimation that they might have made a mistake and invited someone who was not in accord with their viewpoint to do writing for them. This would be a fine and ~~Christ~~ Christian act. To present the matter for the first time in a public meeting, and to declare that the material which the Board had had published and was now introducing was inadequate and harmful was a strong attack on the Board, no matter what one may say about it. The way to determine whether the attack is justified/is ~~it~~ ^{or not} not to question what a person who is not theologically trained may have ^{said} ~~said~~ about the Westminster Confession of Faith but to see what is actually contained in the booklets. Consequently this first statement is hardly of importance in the present discussion. The specific charges made are deserving of very careful examination.

Before looking specifically at the other charge, it would be good to look for a moment at the reply at the last part of what was quoted from the letter. The statement, as contained there, ^{"...my ~~xxx~~} ~~xxx~~ reply would have to be ~~w~~ that we already have the best material which ever could be used, the Westminster Confession of Faith, and the Larger and Shorter Catechisms."