While it is possible to i misinterpret these words if they are taken out of context, taken in context, and interpreted in the light of the Scripture, they are a true statement. They prepresent the conclusion and is summary of the whole section. The insertion of any who other words here injure the context and seem to me to be entirely unnecessary.

The second place on which it is suggested that a change should be made in the book is on page 10, paragraph % 2, line 1, where it says the different pieces items of armor described in Ephesians \*\*\* are just other names for Christ. "But put ye on the Lord Jesus Christ." Romans tells us (Romans 13:14).

Romans 13:14 tells at us to put on the Lord Jesus Krkai Christ. This is a figure of speech. We do not use Christ like -asa piece an item an article of clothing; it describes a relationship to Him. It is through this relationship that we receive all of what is described in Ephesians 6 as the armor of God. Jesus Christ is/xxxxxx "Our Righteousness." Jesus Himself said, "I am the door." He said, "I am the light of the world." He used other terms like similar terms. No one thinks that everything in Christ is this these covered under can be included under the figure of door, or under the figure of a light. He xixxx said, "I am the bread of life." All these statements indicate only a portion of what Christ is. It is a similar figure of speech to say that the different pieces of armor are just other names for Christ. This particular lesson is a very excellent presentation of the fact that we cannot put on righteousness as a specific separate thing that we do. We cannot put faith as a separate thing that we do. All of these figures of speech in the Khristiansxxxxxx Chrsitian's armor in Ephesians 6 represent different aspects of our relation to Christ. Thexfigurexx The figure of speech to say that there are other names for Christ is no more figurative than when we speak of putting on the shield of faith. Faith is not a xkixk shield in the literal sense. It is merely a figure of speech as this is. The ultra-liberalism