4/22/67 Figure of speech #3

## ultra-literalism

ultraliteralism ultraliteralism that an cannot interpret such a figure as of as this for what it is means is something to which I see no need whatever of bowing. Personally I think think it would be silly to insert cross out words in this place or in the place an mentioned before and insert something else. I quite agree with Dr. Cohen's statement, "The book will not lead anyone astraw, but it will bless many."

I like the further statement that Sunday evening programs need not always be classes in dogmatics.

These are classes in practical Christianity. They are attempts to get people tp talking and discussing. They are attempts to get them to stress consecration; attempts to draw people nearer to the Lord. This is a person's duty to do, not simply to teach theology or to try to be sure that every detail of doctrine is expressed in a perfect way.

As a matter of fact there is no possibility **ktxtxxtkxtxxxx** of expressing every detail of doctrine in a perfect way. People are human, and fallible human People are human and fallible. Human language has its weaknesses. The writers of the comparatively short Confession of Faith spent many months carefully working over every word in it. It is not necessary that every program planned for a young people's meeting be worked over in that way.

The purpose of the Westminster Confession, and of the Catechisms is not to Muldafford Mail sit stir people to consecration. Their purpose is to give us a norm for true doctrine. This they do. I do not see anything that I have noticed in this book I have not noticed anything in this book which in any way contradicts true doctrine.

The only real criticism I have seen of it from a viewpoint of Calvinism is that it calls on people to p "put on the Lord Jesus Christ." It calls on people to have faith in God. It calls on people to choose whom w they will serve. It talks as if man has something to do.

When such statements as this are **xir** criticized, it is not an attack on the viewpoint of Calvinism but of hyper-Calvinism. Calvinism recognizes that there