(July 1 stdie

Your letter of ______ reached me. You need not apologize for delay inwriting. If you have anything like the pressing duties and calls for service that I have, **xixis** I can easily understand that you would not get an answer early. In fact, I would not be surprised if it would take it- a later. longer time. If, in addition to that, you should like myself find it difficult to express, to be sure that you have expressed your thoughts clearly, and therefore feel the necessity of carefully revising practically everything that you write, I can see that it would take more time to get an answer to a letter. I see no need whatever to apologize for delay in writing.

Dear Mr.

However, you do apologize for not having time to check the references, and at this point my feeling is somewhat different. As I look over your first letter, and this letter, there are two things that impress me. The first of these is the difference in tone between the two letters that you sent Dr. Mixter and the two that you sent me along with copies of those to him. The two copies that you sent only to me, The letters that you sent only to me. The letters to me are in a friendly tone and give the impression of certain personal warmth. You even asked me to recognize that, you speak highly of my writings in general, and say that you are only criticizing one part of one letter. Ing the letters to him I find an entirely, Anyone who has seen only the letters to him would get an entirely different impression. They record my article as one which is contrary to the fact, utterly untrue, even giving the impression that I think that God spoke a ponsense. This sharp difference between letters to me and the letter to Dr. Mixter is quite **independence**.