a

This reminds wixxx us of the statement that you made in your first could letter at that it was rather questionable whether Abraham waxxxidexxxidant and le leachex large numbers. You say that it may be dix significant that four hundred shekels of silver, of gold that he weighed out for the burial place for his figure named wife is the largest number-mentioned—in the chapter, named in the Bible in connection with him.

This is surely jumping to extreme conclusions. If a man is wealthy enough that he could weigh out four hundred shekels of silver simply for a burial place, and had an establishment of hundreds, perhaps of thousands of employees working for him, so large an establishment I in fact the hill country them, of himsoppoint and Palestine would have been new unable to bear them him and his flock and Lot and that of Lot together and it was necessary that they separate in order to find sufficient room. It is rather absurd to think the amount that he paid out for a burial plot was the highest figure that was known to him. At any event one who could weigh out four hundred shekels for a sum like this must have figured his ewn property in thousands of shekels. Doubtless he had many scribes working for him.

Incidentally, the suggestion that you made that very few people in Babylonia and Mesopotamia in those days knew very much and the knowledge was simply confined to a few scribes is quite contrary to the factschatck as archeology has brought them to light. About the time of Hammurabi and of Abraham, the great king Hammurabi put up a big monument in the centre of that Babylon in which he inscribed the law/of Babylon. He says on it,/these are put here so that anyone who thinks that he is not receiving what is, he is not being justly treated can go to the, come to the square and read the law and see for himself what isk his rights are. Some have suggested that this means that