Prophets 1

you have any historical background there, Mr .---? (Student) The second verse in the light of the context would probably hardly add anything to the first. It might fit in with the first, as showing this was before the destruction of Ephraim. It would seem to be a prediction of the overthrow of Ephraim, wouldn't it? And therefore it must come before it happened, but you already got that from the first so there is no particular need to put it in again under the second. You might just note the second is similar to the first, to that extent, although the second verse taken alone without the first is a thing that might be said any time, of course. You have to take it in relation to the first to get the specific thing it refers to. the third again is the same thing, and so is the fourth, the same thing. Where is your next place where you find , Mr.---? would be just like the second-the prediction of the overflow of Ephraim, except that it is more specific than the second because it makes it ; the second verse, apart from the context, you wouldn't know what was referred to, but the third, like the second in context, is a prediction of the overthrow of Ephraim, and it would show, and so again would the fourth, that this was prior to the overthrough of Ephraim, and that Ephraim is a problem of interest to the people who hear Isaiah, it shows that. And so it suggests that it may be that the historical background is the same as that in the other place where we were looking, in Isaiah 7. Now, what is your next one after that, Mr.---? What to this? (Student) Well, that's a prediction, but it doesn't show the historical background of the situation. You wouldn't have . It's a prediction of the future and of great interest to us for that reason (Student). Yes, it would indicate that of course these were not yet fulfilled. That you could get from it. is your next clear historical background, Mr. ---? (Student) You have nothing until 14. That is you feel that everything that is before 14 is something which could be given of many different times. It doesn't relate specifically