

period. I don't know the exact limits which they give. Now it is a very harmful method of approach because it divides the Scripture up into so many little sections which have relevance only for other periods and not for our particular time. There is no reason why that should be tied up with premillennialism at all. Whether Bullingerites are really premillennialists or not I am really not altogether certain but I'm sure that there is no particular reason why there should be any connection between that sort of an approach and the question of premillennialism. Premillennialism is simply the question, does the Scripture teach that there is going to be a reign of Christ, a period of external peace and safety and that this period is going to come after His return rather than before it? That is what premillennialism means and that is all that premillennialism means. Dispensationalism is an entirely distinct question, a question on which, as I say, there is much more heat than light, both on the part of those who think it tremendously important to be dispensationalists and those who think it is tremendously important to be opposed to dispensationalism, and a great many of both groups, I think, don't have any clear ideas as to just what the real issues are involved in it but it really has no connection with premillennialism. It is an entirely distinct question. Mr.--?

(Student) Yes. Well, now, there is a passage in Acts 15 on which there is an extremely unfortunate note in the Scofield Bible. The Scofield Bible, as you all know, is a human production, just as the King James version is a human production. The only difference is that the writers of the King James version contented themselves with putting headings at the top of the column, putting in chapter divisions, following the archbishop who was very human, making a translation to give what they thought it should mean in the English language. All of that is interpretation and that is interpretation of the inspired word of God. Now the Scofield Bible has gone a little further and has given us footnotes at the bottom and headings through it which make it much easier for someone reading it to get an idea what the translators or the editors think the passages mean. Someone said it is very harmful to use a Bible that has got footnotes in it because you may not