

reign of Christ on earth after His return. One man who holds very strongly to what he calls an amillennialist view told me himself this. He said, "I don't know whether there will be a complete conquest of the world by the gospel or not. I don't know whether there will be a period of many years of complete freedom from war and trouble on this earth before the return of Christ. I don't know that." He said, "All I know is there is no millennial kingdom of Christ after His return," so I was taking those too, you see, as more or less typical. Well now, it is very difficult, you can't say the amillennialists believe this or the amillennialists believe that because there are about as many views as there are amillennialists. It is not a position. It simply is the denial of premillennialism and a refusal at least to maintain postmillennialism, whether it is a denial or not. I mean some will deny postmillennialism, others will just say, "We don't know," so I said they are agnostic to postmillennialism but hostile to premillennialism. Now that is not true of everyone who calls himself an amillennialist. It is true of a number with whom I have been very closely associated at various times but the one who perhaps has given more publicity to the term "amillennialist" than any one else was Professor \_\_\_\_\_, who was professor in Princeton when I was there, and he is very definitely of a different type. Professor \_\_\_\_\_, I have found, was a man who was tremendously interested in the predictions of the future and tremendously interested in the belief that the whole of this age was leading up to a great climax in the return of Christ and his amillennialism really was that he did not accept premillennialism but he rarely went out of his way to attack it. He was interested in what he called "generic eschatology" but I have never known another amillennialist, so-called, who took the attitude that Dr. \_\_\_\_\_ did. I find a great deal that is very helpful in his writings, and a great deal which it seems to me just going a little bit further fits right in with the premillennial interpretation. Mr.---? (Student) Well there isn't anything you'd call the teaching of amillennialism. There is the denial of premillennialism which existed as early as the allegorizing teachers in Alexandria about two hundred and sixty or