

- 16/9
17 | Argument from silence, value of
- 17/8 Daniel an integrated whole
- 18/3 Three views of interp. of Daniel
1) View of liberals. Written to give encouragement.
Montgomery's Com. all about Antiochus Epiphanes.
20 2) View of Pusey, High Church Movement; Oxford Movement
Coming of Christ's kingdom into the world.
20/7 E.J.Young's view
- 22 3) Intervention by Divine force to end world powers
23 Dan. 2
- 23/5 Divisions of Daniel: historical; prediction
- 24 Dispensations
25 Law of God
- 26 | Types in Scripture. viz. Antiochus Epiphanes
26/4-7 Young against idea of a gap
27 Survey of Dan. 1-5
- 28 | Ch. 1-6 not a connected hist. but unconnected series of
events
29 Darius the Mede. Harm done by a good dictator.
- 29/6 **F**ear of ridicule
- 30 historical background of Bk. of Daniel
31/3 Apparent inconsistencies because of our ignorance
31/4 Liberal view summarized
- 32/1-4 | Pusey view summarized
32/5 Our view
32/7 E.J.Young's view
- 33/3 On technical terms - false assumptions
Day of the Lord
Little Horn
| A type not a proof
- 34/2 | On gaps
34/3 The three views discussed
- 34/7 nothing in Ch. 2 about Antiochus
" " " " "
- 35 Great Sea
36/8
- 37 7:11
37/5 Chs. 2 & 7 so similar they are pictures of same thing.
38 Is Antiochus Epiphanes spoken about?
38/4 8:9
38/7 8:25