78	11:36	
79 80		Dan. 2 & 7 compared
81 82		Critical hypothesis of interp. of Dan. Difficulties of this view
83		Medes & Persians - two distinctempires
83/9 84		On making of theiries without facts Illustration on getting drunk Medes and Persians
84/10		If history doesn't matter then facts don't matter
85	5:1; 9:1	Darius - unusual phrase
85/10		Rabbis thought Turkish Empire might be the 4th kingdom
86/1		Calvin - trukish view
86/8		critical view inacceptable
87/4 88/6		Young's book on Daniel Why was ch. 2 given?
89		Medo-Persian kgdm "inferior", means lower down
90		Composition of the image
90/6	2:42,43	
90/8		Young ridicules Ironside's view
91 92	ch. 1,2 Ch. 2	Pre - Post - A mill view of
93 93/7		Sudden destruction when stone smites image. Not slow process Hengstenberg's view i.e. the conversion of the Germans, 800 A.D.
94/7		Holy Spirit's work today. Not a time of gradual growth into better things
95 95/9		A-mil's negàtive approach Young on the 10 toes
97 98 99	2:44	Philip Mauro on the Little Horn being Herod Diff. viewpoint between ch. 2 & 7 God's control over Satan "in the days of these kings"
100		Views on "in the days of these kings". Are these the 10 toes?
101/8		The ten toes
103		young's book (?)
104/8		Allis
105	7:11,12	Similarities between ch. 2 and 7. Each beast lost its dominion to the succeeding one
	The second secon	