## Daniel

1		1	Amil a denial rather than a view. Dan. 2 dees not fit amil view
2	2:34		A gap?
2/8		1	Analogy of war of 1812.
3			Victory over Satan won on cross, but outworking of it will come in God's own time Christ struck image in principle to destroy it, but in outworking it does not happen till second coming
5 5/4		1	Parables do not walk on all fours Could not insist the toes had significance apart from ch. 7 where 10 kings indicate a parallel.
6	7:		Four beasts
7	7:8-14.18		Has all this happened if the little hern in Antiochus Epipahnes?
8			If kgdm. of Messiah was estab. at first coming how do you interpret Cor. 15:24?
8/7	7:12		Not explained
9 9/9	7:14	l	Did not happen at first coming ? Not fit with 1 Cor. 15:24 in the post or amil view.
10		l	Post or amil interp. does not build a coordinative view.
10/7	7:13-14		Christ's ewn interp. of this of His second coming
11 12-14/7		l	Possibility of a parenthesis Duration of Roman Empire (ch. 2)
14/8 15	7:12		"their deminion taken away" time not indicated
16-17			gap discussed
17 -18/7			Gap illustrated from picture of American history
19			Big features are given with gaps in between First beast present in same way at end of age.
19/10 20	11: 11:1-21		Antiochus Epiphanes
21	11:21 22		Ridiculous to make break between
21 22	11:22; 1-	35	History of that period. Antiochus Epiphanes came in peaceably Continuity in the visions
22/5			Two ways of looking at the image  1. Shows duration straight along. No.
23		3,	2. Shows no duration. No. There is a dequence. Relation between 3rd & 4th kgdms not as clear as between 1st and 2nd.