

- 144 The 62 wk. period is the same unless otherwise indicated
- 145 Same 62 wk. period, not a different one.
- 146 Difficulties of fitting 9:27 into 1st coming
9:26 Has first coming in it
- 146/7 | Difficulty with Delancy's suggestion
- 147 The third focus
Evidence not clear whether Antichrist is a Roman or a Jew
(Why not a Roman Jew?)
- 148 How long a period is "the seven"? Days? Years? An indeterminate period?
- 148/10 The end of the 7 wks and the beg. of the 62 is unknown.
- 149 The anointed one -- Cyrus.
- 149/8 | Artificial to speak of a 360 day year.
- 150/4 Our dates for Artaxerxes may be wrong
150/7 7's of years most likely
- 150/9 | Millennium could be 2000 yrs. or 400 yrs. ???
- 151/4 | Is no predicted event which ~~must~~ can be recognized which must precede the rapture. No one can tell when the beginning of the week is if rapture comes then.
- 151/10 The 49 yr. period may be up to Cyrus, and the next period begins with the rebuilding of the temple.
- 152 || The 1535 days and the 1290 days.
152/7- 185 REVIEW
152/8 First four vs. at least of Dan. 12 belong with Dan. 10,11.
- 153 We know ca. 10 begins with time of Cyrus
Prediction of fut. events running thro ch. 11 & first of ch 12.
- 153/10 || ~~xxxx~~ 12:2,3
- 154 Actual vision starts with 11:2
| Gap between vs.3 & 4
- 154/5 11:3 Critics view
- 155 11:4-20
- 156 11:20-21
- 156/8 Lange on vs. 13,14,18,19
- 157 11:20,19
- 157/8 Jerome's view
- 158 11:21 Jerome applies to ~~Antiochus~~ Antichrist.
- 158 11:20 Is Seleucus and leads up to vs. 21 which is Antiochus
- 158/7-10 | Jerome recognizes the presence of gaps.