· •	DANIEL	First semester 1955-56 Part II H page 3
51		Destruction of this Image fits with fut. better than with past
52	7:	Little horn of ch. 7 and the little horn of ch. 8 Different sources
53-55/4		Cannot be the same little horns
55/5 56	2: 7:	If these chapters are // then smiting stone would be same as Son of Man's coming in glory on clouds of heaven is coming in clouds of heaven his first coming?
56/7		Jesus applied Dan's prophecy in ch. 7 tothis fut. coming.
57		Presumption that image has 10 toes. Parallels beast with 10 horns
58	7:14	why do the amils argue so strongly against the image pointing to a second coming. But they admit it for ch. 7: Ch. 2 says it's sudden; ch. 7 could be a gradual thing and so fit the amil interp. better than ch. 2. But Jesus makes ch. 7 point to His second coming.
59/4 59/7	÷	Hengstenberg on the binding of Satan Amils would be in a stronger position to make ch. 7 a picture of Christ's first coming
59/10 60	7:13 7:9,13	Ancient of Days gives Son of Man a dominion & glory
61		E. J. Youn's position on ch. 7 untenable
62		The doctrine Satan hates worst next to the doctrimme of the atonement is the premil return of Christ
63	11:36	E. J Young in his discussion is unable to ignore the second coming of Christ. Young's treatent of - eight views
64 65 66 67	11:36 11:36-39	<pre>{Celvin's view . Varies considerably. Not consistent. Young's classification cont'd It is inconsistent Strange system of classification</pre>
68		How does the fourth kgdm. connect up with the end of the age? Is it a continuous thing or is there a gap?
69 69/9	11:21,30,35,3 12:1 -3	6 How precisely does vs. 21ff fit Antiochus Epiphanes?
70 71	9:2,24	Read what Leupold says The seventy weeks
72		The seventy weeks Views regarding
73		Definition of a premillennialist Students joke on piel being a premil. interp. Prof. who didn't like name B.P. Synod because "Bible" suggested to him lough singing "Fundamentalist" suggests rattlesnakes to some