R   2

our discussion that we say, for the purpose of our discussion, this word
is going to have a certain meaning.   Now people don't have to take a par-
ticular technical meaning and stick to it right along.   There is no reason
we should do that.   That is not the nature of language, but in a particular
discussion it is always if define what our word means.   I think there is no
question that the apostle Paul uses the word "law" in several different
senses.   Now you cannot understand his discussion of the word "law" unless
you determine from the context what he is talking about when he refers to
the law.   He refers to the law of sin and death, he refers to the law of
God which is holy.   He uses "law" in various senses and you can usually
determine from its context what he means by the word but you cannot take
one specific technical meaning and carry it right through.   I think you can
with the word "faith".   Paul has one definite idea in mind when he speaks
of faith and Paul says that we are saved by faith and not by works.   James,
on the other hand, says that Abraham was not saved by faith alone, but by
faith and works and the fact of the matter is that the two do not contradict
each other but that James uses the word in a different sense than Paul does
and it is quite easy to discover from the context if you take the full
teaching of the two, that there is absolutely no contradiction.   Someone
has said they are like two men sitting in two chairs back to back and fight-
ing off opponents from two different directions.   They are standing for
the same position but they use their terminology somewhat differently, and
you have to study the use of the terminology in order to determine what
they mean by it.   Now in this particular case here, "the earth,", the Hebrew
word "   " as we see, we cannot take as a technical term to mean the
entire globe because the Bible does not use it that way.   The Bible some-
times uses this word that way and sometimes uses it for the habitable earth.
(Student)   Well, I don't know that I would quite say that.   I think that
the fact you point out is a very interesting one but whether your conclusion