

Greek Catholic Church in recent years seems to be that of accepting exactly the books which Protestants accept and not accepting the Apochryphal books. There have^{been} two or three Greek writers who have been trying to work for greater unity with the Roman Catholic Church who have made pronouncements that they consider these to be part of the O.T. but they are the exception rather than the rule. The Roman Catholic Church may consider Tobit to be a part of the inspired books but probably 3/4 of the people never even heard of the book. It is not the foundation, the foundation is the church. With Protestants the foundation is the Bible. Our present question is the canon of Christ and the Apostles and in this present question the thing that settles is that the attitude of Christ was that the canon of the Jews is the canon, that those books which the Jews accept as inspired are the inspired books. It is a very interesting thing that though they don't hesitate to quote occasionally from books which are not involved in this controversy and though they repeatedly quote from most of the books of the O.T. that Christ and the Apostles never once quote from any single one of the seven books which the Roman Catholics say are inspired and which the Protestants and Jews do not say are inspired. That is not the proof but a very interesting fact. #43 I find statements in good Christian writers today, I found a statement the other day, a man wrote a statement and he made a certain quotation. I wrote and told him that anybody who knows anything about Hebrew knows there is nothing to this thing. He thanked me very much, however I found the statement in some other very good writers and I looked and I found five or six of our leading writers in fundamental circles and I found the President of Princeton Theological Seminary included it in his writings. I found that Hodge included it. And there is absolutely without foundation, if one of them turned to the Hebrew he's see there is nothing to it. It means that somebody made a mistake and these other men simply took the statement without looking into the original sources or evidences of it. Any statement that anybody makes, if it is not part of the inspired Word of God, check it by the facts.

#6. New Testament quotations from the Septuagint do not in any way show recognition of Apochryphal books as authority. That is very very vital. Let us say that the fact that the New Testament sometimes quotes language contained in the Septuagint does not in any way show recognition of Apochryphal books as authoritative. This is a rebuttal point. You read a statement in the New Testament and it says - As Isaiah, the