

relation of the African church to the bishop of Rome. We noticed that in the Pelagian controversy, A. was ~~not~~ anxious to have the bishop of Rome say that Pelagius was wrong. And he ~~was~~ anxious to have leaders in all parts of the church say that he was wrong, and I read you last time from this book published by Burt, a RC writer, on the life of A., the statement that A. made when he wrote the bishop of Rome and asked him to condemn Pelagus, in which A. said, I want/<sup>you</sup>to remember that my source of understanding comes from the same source that yours does, that my little trickle of understanding comes from the same source that your great stream comes from, and I am anxious that you study these evidences that I have given, and that you declare against Pelagian Pelagus," and Burt after quoting says, "What a splendid example this is of the attitude of submission that A. had to the pope"! Well, I don't ~~see~~<sup>see</sup> any submission in the ~~statement~~-statement. I see the rather gracious attitude which declares the other person is better than you are, and which asks the <sup>influence</sup> other person to use his ~~wisdom~~ in the direction which you think is right. That is very strong in it. But any ~~submissi~~on to the bishop of Rome is not in this statement which was just quoted. That A. was anxious that the bishop of Rome should throw his influence in the right direction...

(end of record)

(First records of  
second semester)