c52s

idea was adopted by the whole church, though many many centuries before they gave up their idea of EAster and adopted (end of record) c62s

clami stand, and now we come to a man who is one of the great characters of the ancient world, and who had the abiltiy and the enerby and the character to make his claime his stand and come to believe in the claims and so he is a pope in the moadern sense and he is one of the few men I beleive at least in modern times there have been very few popes at all th the thepre tical sense, today the Jesuit order for instance is maintaining the authority of the pope as the great head of the church. But after actually the Jesuit order maintains what it thinks the pope ought to do and if the pope does something else the pope pope does not pay any attention and the the pope won't do nothing against it and he has to get in order is so line with it, the pope is not in the church today, he is in someway a figure head for a great organization, but Lro was not a figure head but indedd a monarch, (question) There was not a pope Before this time as a writer took a rank with Jerome or Augustine as a writer or as an thinker or as an administrator, could be rank with ATanaciua, who as a preacher could be ranked with Chrisostom, or who as a theologian could be ranked with Irenaeus or with Augustine, who perhaps as a leader could be ranked with Cyprian of Carghage, or it just so happened that no real man of outstanding ability and character was in the place as bishop to Rome so far as we know up to this There is one writer who of course is an outstanding figure who was time. bishop of Rome and that is Clement, but he was in the first century and we know nothing about him except we have his very fine epistle and his epistle and the episite does not even mention his name and while epistle says from the chruch of Rome to the ch rch of Corinth. It is tradition that Clement is the author, it has been know as the epistle of Clement

and it is the tradition that Clement was the bishop of Rome and there is no reason to question whether he may have bleen but wheterh he was a Roman , it it more likely he was a Greek, whether he came vrom a distinguished family or whether he was a slave who had been converted as some $\sharp \phi$ of the stories

the second of the second s