c62s

say he had been , we gust do not know, we don't know anything about Clement except he was said to be a bishop of Rome and he was almost certainly well at least thename we can not even say when it came from the church of Rome his name , what wight do we have to say even that he wrote it than we can say that Monroe wrote the Monroe doctrine. The Monroe doctrine is of course something that every American knows about , well I suppose there is comparitable few who realize that John Quincy Adams was later president, was secretary of state under Monroe and he wrote a statement which Monroe fully

as presidents Monroe doctrine, Monroe had dothing do do with it and except he promulgated it and gave his authority to it .  $F_{T}$  John Quincy Adams was the writer of it, but in this case we have no reason thatClement did not write it, we just do not know. So that Clement would be the one possible exception and in his case our ignorance is so great that we can hardly consider him an exception. There have been very interesting store write a telling tales of the life of Clement but there have been a number of them and they differ from each other so radically and they are all so late after his time that there is no reason to think that it is nescessarily any truth to any of it. But Leo is a man who is well known by his wtitings and by the writings of contemperaries and he sisa man who exerted and influence upon his age and upon succeeding ages. He is a man in his example who showed what he thought a pope ought to be and what a pope theoretical is. If there had been no real

one can question whether the bishops of Rome ever have succeded any of them if making any  $\not \in I$  large part of the world except the claims which some of them like to make. But Leo had not only the idea of making the claims but the ability to go with it and he had the saintlyness of character to go with it for Leo there is no evidence of anything that we would call reprehensible about him except perhaps in certain pride of his office, a certain overbearingaess of office, and that is a little hard to be suree even he is reprehensible on that point, we can say he mistaken on the idea that he was established Christ to be the head of the church but if a man really believe that his is os established not only you might say his error is one of the midd

26